



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,683	07/02/2004	Markus Gerardus Leonardus Maria Van Doorn	NL 020003	6582
24737	7590	04/04/2008	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S	
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			2623	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/04/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/500,683	Applicant(s) VAN DOORN, MARKUS GERARDUS LEONARDUS M.
Examiner FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO	Art Unit 2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/17/08.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/17/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues on page 7, "Ficco fails to teach retrieving identification of a user by the server, and fails to teach generating second documents on the basis of at least a part of the retrieved identification of the user and at least a part of first documents." While applicant's point is understood, examiner does not agree. Ficco shows in **figure 11** users Kate and Lovey-Dovey. There are at least two users in this figure that shows their identification. **Figure 12** also shows the subscriber service access (S1), which indicates how the users needs to subscribe to the network. Referring to this, also (**column 18 lines 35-39**) the user selects scripts to be temporarily buffered until ready to check-out at the website.
2. Also, applicant argues on page 7, "the office action also asserts that Ficco teaches generating second documents on the basis of at least a part of the retrieved identification of the user and at least a part of the first document." Examiner does not agree. Ficco shows on (**column 16 lines 62-67**) "this present invention is not limited to these methods of script selection. Alternatively, and instead of selecting scripts to be downloaded from the internet (STB) 300 may be configured to receive scripts that are downloaded from a satellite system, and/or to receive downloaded scripts on a pay-per-view basis from a satellite TV or cable provider." This shows how second documents (or downloads) are retrieved via internet and/or STB or pay-per-view.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Picco et al (US Patent 6,868,292 B2). Hereinafter referred as Ficco.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 7, and 12, Ficco discloses a method, system and computer program of controlling application devices comprising (**Figure 1**): retrieving first documents (**HTML File (801) in figure 9**) from a first set of application devices by a server (**Host Processor (815) in figure 9**); retrieving identification of a user by the server (**column 16 lines 4-6**); characterized in that the method further comprises the steps of: autonomously generating second documents by the server, each comprising at least one instruction, on the basis of at least a part of the retrieved identification of the user and at least a part of the first documents (**column 16 lines 1-4**); sending at least one of the second documents to each device of a second set of the application devices by the server (**column 16 lines 14-26**); and performing, for a given device of the

second set, one instruction from at least one of the second documents received in the given device (**HP 310 issues commands (S15) in figure 13**).

Regarding claims 2, 6, 8, and 13, Ficco discloses a method, system and a computer program (**Column 20 lines 8-11**) according to claim 1, characterized in that the step of retrieving identification of the user further comprises the steps of retrieving user profile information based on the user identification by the server (**Column 16 lines 4-6**); and retrieving context profile information relating to surroundings of the user by the server (**Column 16 lines 6-13**).

Regarding claims 3, 9, 14, and 17, Ficco discloses a method according to claim 1, characterized in that the documents comprise at least one of Hyper Text Markup Language (**Column 8 lines 5-6**), Scalable Vector Graphics, Resource Description Framework and Extensible Markup Language (**column 8 lines 14-16**).

Regarding claims 4, 10-11, 15-16, and 18-19, Ficco discloses a method according to claim 1, characterized in that the application devices comprise at least one of Web tablet, set-top box, VCR, TV, PDA, lamp, coffee machine, radio, telephone, background wall, DVD player and electronic information panel (**Figure 1**).

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2623